

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/759,205	Applicant(s) ROVINELLI ET AL.	
	Examiner Luke Gilligan	Art Unit 3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Luke Gilligan.

(3) Irah Donner.

(2) Joe Thomas.

(4) Greg Disher, Michael Hagen, Walton Sumner

Date of Interview: 18 November 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: Harless, U.S. Patent No. 5,006,978.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

J.T. DOES NOT NEED TO

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ~~MUST~~ INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Discussed the Feature of dynamically generating a patient history based in part on a user profile. Applicants presented proposed amendments to indicate this in the claims. The Examiner agreed that this change appears to distinguish over the applied prior art. However, the search will be updated in light of such changes. Additionally Applicants presented arguments with respect to several dependent claims which the Examiner agreed to reconsider.*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

JOSEPH THOMAS

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3609**

Examiner's signature, if required